101ST CONGRESS
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT
101-907

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE RURAL DEVELOPMENT, AGRICULTURE, AND RELATED AGENCIES PROGRAMS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 1991, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

OCTOBER 20, 1990.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. WHITTEN, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 5268]

The Committee of Conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 5268) making appropriations for the rural development, agriculture, and related agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1991, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments numbered 23, 31, 51, 52, 53, 67, 69, 73, 78, 80, 81, and 82.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 9, 10, 21, 30, 33, 36, 40, 41, 59, 60, 63, 64, 65, and 66, and agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 1:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 1, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$621,585,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 3:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 3, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$41,016,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 4:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 4, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$162,293,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

H183-23

Amendment numbered 5:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 5, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$17,820,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 7:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 7, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended as follows:

In lieu of the sum named, insert: *$5,551,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 8:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 8, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$1,168,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 11:

Tht the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 11, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$3,152,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 12:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 12, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$6,725,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 15:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 15, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$62,867,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 17:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 17, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$252,608,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 18:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 18, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended as follows:

In lieu of the sum named, insert: *$3,557,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 19:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 19, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$1,970,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 20:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 20, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$3,230,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 22:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 22, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$2,550,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 24:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 24, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the first sum named in said amendment insert: *$1,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 25:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 25, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$22,794,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 34:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 34, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$1,978,581,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 37:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 37, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$509,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 38:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 38, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$3,500,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 39:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 39, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$3,750,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 42:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 42, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$32,5000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 43:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 43, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$30,500,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 44:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 44, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$20,750,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 48:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 48, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment insert: *$10,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 49:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 49, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$3,529,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 55:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 55, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$185,705,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 56:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 56, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$26,766,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 57:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 57, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$190,152,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 58:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 58, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$13,620,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 61:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 61, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$1,143,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 62:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 62, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$2,750,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 70:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 70, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$6,879,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 71:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 71, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$1,062,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 72:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 72, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and the matter inserted by said amendment insert: *$656,519,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 74:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 74, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *$43,960,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

The committee of conference report in disagreement amendments numbered 2, 6, 13, 14, 16, 26, 27, 28, 29, 32, 35, 45, 46, 47, 50, 54, 68, 75, 76, 77, 79, and 83.

JAMIE L. WHITTEN,
BOB TRAXLER,
MATTHEW F. MCHUGH,
WILLIAM H. NATCHER,
WES WATKINS,
RICHARD J. DURBIN,
(except for amendment 44),
MARCY KAPTUR,
NEAL SMITH,
VIRGINIA SMITH,
JOHN T. MYERS,
JOE SKEEN,
VIN WEBER,
SILVIO O. CONTE
(except for amendment 44),
Managers on the Part of the House.

QUENTIN N. BURDICK,
DALE BUMPERS,
TOM HARKIN,
BROCK ADAMS,
WYCHE FOWLER, Jr.,
J. ROBERT KERREY,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
THAD COCHRAN,
JAMES A. MCCLURE,
ROBERT W. KASTEN, Jr.,
ARLEN SPECTER,
CHUCK GRASSLEY,
MARK O. HATFIELD,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 5268) making appropriations for Rural Development, Agriculture, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1991, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES

The conferees agree that executive branch wishes cannot substitute for Congress' own statements as to the best evidence of congressional intentions—that is, the official reports of the Congress. The conferees further point out that funds in this Act must be used for the purposes for which appropriated, as required by section 1301 of title 31 of the United States Code, which provides: "Appropriations shall be applied only to the objects for which appropriations were made except as otherwise provided by law."

Report language included by the House which is not changed by the report of the Senate, and Senate report language which is not changed by the conference are approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein.

TITLE I—AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS

PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND MARKETING

HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT

The conference agreement deletes a Senate provision for a pilot project concerning obsolete farm and homeowner chemicals.

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

Amendment No. 1: Appropriates $621,585,000 for the Agricultural Research Service instead of $631,208,000 as proposed by the House and $612,495,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement is based on the following changes to the budget request:

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
1. Alternative fuels characterization lab (University of North Dakota)		$300,000	([1])
2. Animal health consortium, Ames, IA		770,000	$385,000
3. Aquaculture, Oceanic Institute, HI	$463,000	463,000	463,000
4. Aquaculture, MV		900,000	450,000
5. Beltsville modernization (transfer from B&F)	7,250,000	.....	.....
6. BARC research, MD		500,000	250,000
7. Barley (Montana State University)		200,000	100,000
8. Beckley, WV, conservation lab		300,000	150,000
9. Bee research, ID, UT		100,000	50,000
10. Center for food safety and post-harvest tech, MS		250.000	125,000
11. Meat animal research center, Clay Center, NE	500,000	875,000	687,000
12. Dairy forage, Prairie du Sac, WI		400,000	200,000
13. Eastern filbert blight, OR	50,000	85,000	67,000
14. Unspecified	7,550,000	.....	.....
15. Fermentation lab, Raleigh, NC	150,000	.....	150,000
16. Food safety (University of Arkansas)		400,000	200,000
17. Fort Keogh livestock and range lab, Miles City, MT		300,000	150,000
18. Fruit fly eradication, HI	900,000	900,000	900,000
19. Gypsy moth, BARC		500,000	250,000
20. Herd management (Tennessee State University)		750,000	([2])
21. Human nutrition:	(2,000,000)	(1,000,000)	(1,500,000)
a. Baylor, TX	500,000	500,000	300,000
b. Beltsville, MD	500,000	.....	300,000
c. Grand Forks, ND		500,000	300,000
d. LAIR, CA	500,000	.....	300,000
e. Tufts, MA	500,000	.....	300,000
22. Human nutrition, Pennington Center, LA	([3])	[3] 2,690,000	([4])
23. Kearneysville, WV, fruit lab		500,000	250,000
24. Locoweed Research, NM	200,000	200,000	200,000
25. Meadowfoam		7,000	3,000
26. New England plant, soil and water lab, Orono, ME		300,000	150,000
27. Northern Crops Institute		750,000	325,000
28. Northern plains soil and water lab, Sidney, MT		500,000	250,000
29. Oats research:.			
a. North Dakota State University		100,000	100,000
b. Aberdeen, Idaho		100,000	50,000
30. Peach and pecan, Byron, GA	150,000	250,000	200,000
31. Peanut research (GA, NC, VA)	300,000	.....	300,000
32. Pear thrips, VT		58,000	29,000
33. Plant stress research, Lubbock, TX		150,000	75,000
34. Potato research:			
a. Potato beetles	150,000	150,000	150,000
b. Potato varieties	100,000	100,000	100,000
35. Red River Valley Agriculture Research Center, Fargo, ND		700,000	350,000
36. Rice research, LA		200,000	100,000
37. Russian wheat aphid		400,000	200,000
38. Scrapie/bovine spongiform encephalopathy [BSE]		600,000	300,000
39. Sheep experiment station, Dubois, ID		500,000	250,000
40. Soybean research	350,000	700,000	525,000
41. Soybean-based ink	150,000	.....	75,000
a. Lehigh University		51,000	25,000
42. Space remote sensing, MS		100,000	50,000
43. Special fund	500,000	500,000	500,000
44. Sugarcane research, HI	200,000	12,000	106,000
45. Sweet potato research	250,000	.....	250,000
46. Sweet potato whitefly	100,000	200,000	150,000
47. Systematic entomology lab, Beltsville, MD		200,000	100,000
48. Turkey osteomyelitis complex	200,000	200,000	200,000
49. Urban pests (University of Georgia)		153,000	([5])
50. Urban pests, Gainesville,FL	100,000	.....	100,000
51. Vegetable oils as fuels (University of Idaho)		200,000	100,000
52. Wheat, sorghum, and forage unit, Lincoln, NE		300,000	150,000
53. Wheat quality labs:			
a. Wooster, OH		100,000	50,000

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
b. Manhattan, KS		100,000	50,000
c. Pullman, WA		100,000	50,000
d. Fargo, ND		100,000	50,000
54. Repair and maintenance		[6] −17,364,000	([2])
Total	631,208,000	612,495,000	621,585,000

[1] $250,000 provided under CSRS, Federal administration.
[2] $375,000 provided under CSRS, Federal administration.
[3] House and Senate bills provided $300,000 under CSRS, special grants.
[4] A total of $800,000 provided under CSRS, special grants.
[5] A total of $76,000 provided under CSRS, special grants.
[6] Senate bill provided $17,364,000 under ARS, Buildings and Facilities.

The conference agreement includes $1,106,000 for research on kenaf, the same as the amount provided by both the House and the Senate and the same as the budget request. Within this total, $394,000 is available for research in the State of Mississippi, the same as the budget request. The conferees are extremely pleased with the progress to date of the kenaf research project and are convinced that this product offers great potential as a new product for American farmers.

The conferees agree that the additional resources provided to the Agricultural Research Service's Wheat, Sorghum, and Forage Unit in Lincoln, Nebraska, should be used in part to strengthen the Unit's entomology work, particularly in developing measures to combat chinch bug infestation in grain sorghum.

Amendment No. 2: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which exempts Kimberly, Idaho, from the limitations in the bill regarding the purchase of land. This language is required in order to complete the purchase of land at Kimberly, Idaho, which began in fiscal year 1990.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 3: Appropriates $41,016,000 for Federally owned facilities of the Agricultural Research Service instead of $26,039,000 as proposed by the House and $65,122,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
1. Arkansas: Rice Research Center, Stuttgart	([1])	([1])	$223,000
2. California:			
a. U.S. Salinity Lab, Riverside	$5,050,000	$5,050,000	5,050,000
b. U.S. Water Management Lab	([2])	.....	([2])
3. Colorado: National Seed Storage Lab, Fort Collins	2,000,000	4,000,000	3,000,000
4. Georgia: Poultry Disease Lab, Athens	300,000	.....	.....
5. Illinois: Northern Regional Research Center	([2])	.....	([2])
6. Iowa: National Animal Disease Center, Ames	300,000	300,000	300,000
7. Idaho: National Small Grains Germ Plasm Repository	.....	200,000	200,000
8. Maryland: Beltsville Agricultural Research Center	[3] 8,750,000	16,000,000	16,000,000
9. Minnesota: Soil and Water Lab, Morris	300,000	.....	300,000
10. Mississippi:			
a. Center for Natural Products	([4])	5,175,000	5,175,000

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
b. National Center for Warm Water Aquaculture	([5])	2,140,000	1,200,000
11. North Dakota: Plant science greenhouse complex-NDSU		425,000	425,000
12. Oklahoma: South Central Agricultural Research Center, Lane	1,450,000		1,450,000
13. Oregon: Columbia Basin Agricultural Research Center, Pendleton		168,000	168,000
14. Oregon/Washington/Idaho: Northwest Small Fruit Center	150,000	200,000	175,000
15. South Carolina: U.S. Vegetable Lab, Charleston		5,050,000	
16. Texas:			
a. ARS Bee Lab, Weslaco	1,700,000		1,700,000
b. Plant Stress Lab, Texas Tech University	600,000	4,000,000	600,000
17. Washington: U.S. Fruit and Vegetable Lab, Yakima	5,050,000	5,050,000	5,050,000
18. Miscellaneous: Repair and improvement, ARS facilities	389,000	17,364,000	([6])
Total	26,039,000	65,122,000	41,016,000

[1] House bill and Senate bill included $223,000 under CSRS, Buildings and Facilities.
[2] Report on need as specified in the House report.
[3] House bill included $7,250,000 under ARS repair and improvement.
[4] House bill included $3,850,000 under CSRS, Buildings and Facilities account.
[5] House bill requested report on facilities requirements.
[6] Conference agreement includes $17,364,000 under ARS.

The conferees view both the Plant Stress Laboratory at Lubbock, Texas, and the U.S. Fruit and Vegetable Laboratory at Yakima, Washington, as high priority research installations. The conferees have been advised by the Department that additional funds could not be utilized during fiscal year 1991; therefore, the conferees have deferred further funding of these two high priority facilities until fiscal year 1992.

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE

Amendment No. 4: Provides $162,293,000 for research under the Hatch Act instead of $163,327,000 as proposed by the House and $161,260,000 as proposed by the Senate.

It has come to the attention of the conferees that some States may plan to decrease their monetary commitment to Hatch Act and Smith-Lever programs in an amount equal to any increased appropriations granted by the Congress. This is clearly not the intent of Congress regarding the use of such funds. When additional monies are appropriated for a program, such increases are not meant to relieve the States of their responsibility. The conferees will monitor this situation closely during the coming year.

Amendment No. 5: Provides $17,820,000 for grants for cooperative forestry research instead of $13,494,000 as proposed by the House and $18,361,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 6: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *"$61,976,000"*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides $61,976,000 for special research grants instead of $56,909,000 as proposed by the House and $56,811,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

[In thousands of dollars]

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Special Research Grants (P.L. 89–106):			
Aflatoxin (IL)	175		131
Agribusiness management (MS)		100	75
Agricultural diversification (HI)	154	154	154
Agricultural management systems (MA)	350		275
Agricultural trade (ND)		600	596
Agriculture utilization research (MN)	500	500	500
Alternative cropping systems (Southeast)	270	285	277
Alternative crops (ND)		500	497
Alternative marine and fresh water species (MS)		350	275
Alternative pest control (AR)		1,400	1,391
Alternative to dinoseb (OR)	225	225	225
Animal health	5,705		
Animal sci. food safety consortium (AR, KS, IA)		2,000	1,845
Animal waste disposal (MI)	75		37
Apple quality research (MI)	95		94
Aquaculture (general)	593	720	656
Aquaculture (Stoneville)	612	588	600
Asparagus yield decline (MI)	95		94
Bean and beet (MI)	190		189
Beef fat content (IA)		400	200
Belgian endive (MA)	75		67
Blueberry shoestring virus (MI)	92	92	92
Broom snakeweed (NM)	150	150	150
Celery fusarium (MI)	40		39
Chesapeake Bay aquaculture	375	500	437
Cool season legume research	250	500	375
Cottonseed extraction and oil refining (TX)	75	75	75
Cranberry/blueberry disease and breeding (NJ)	260	260	260
Dairy and beef photoperiod (MI)	33		33
Dairy goat research (TX)	75	75	75
Delta rural revitalization (MS)	175	175	175
Dogwood anthracnose (GA, NC, TN)		200	100
Dried bean (ND)		100	93
Eastern filbert blight (OR)	50	100	75
Enhanced livestock production (ND)		300	250
Environmental research (NY)	350		297
Enthanol research (AR)	200		100
Expanded wheat pasture (OK)	275	275	275
Export services (OR)		450	348
Floriculture (HI)	296	296	296
Food and Agriculture Policy Institute (IA, MO)	750	750	750
Food irradiation (IA)		200	100
Food marketing policy center (CT)	393	393	393
Food systems research group (WI)	222	300	261
Grasshopper biocontrol (ND)	71	75	73
Human nutrition research (NY)	800		556
Human nutrition research IA)	300	300	300
Human nutrition (LA) [1]	300	300	800
Integrated forest management (AR)		50	25
Integrated orchard management (VT)		50	49
Integrated pest management	4,000	4,000	4,000
Integrated production systems (OK)	186		186
International livestock program (KS)		95	94
Iowa biotechnology consortium		2,000	1,756
Irrigation/fish production (AR)		335	167
Leafy spurge biocontrol (MT)		250	125
Livestock and dairy policy (NY, TX)	525	525	525
Lowbush blueberry research (ME)	172	233	202
Low-input agriculture (MN)	200		174

[In thousands of dollars]

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Maple research (VT)		100	99
Michigan institute	2,276		2,246
Midwest biotechnology consortium	2,730	2,730	2,730
Milk safety (PA)		285	283
Milkweed research (NE)	80	80	80
Minor crop pest control (HI)	285	285	285
Minor use animal drugs (IR-4)	450	450	450
Mosquito research (AR, CA, LA, MS, TX)	456		453
Multi-cropping strategies for aquaculture (HI)	150	150	150
National biological impact assessment	300	300	300
Nematode resistance genetic engineering (NM)	150	150	150
New uses for agricultural products (OH)	150		140
Non-food agricultural products (NE)	110	110	110
Oil from jojoba (NM)	200	200	200
Oregon-Mass. biotechnology	500	500	500
Pacific agricultural trade (HI)		225	
Peach tree short life (SC)	192	192	192
Peanut breeding (GA)		95	47
Pecan weevil (OK)	50		25
Pesticide clearance (IR-4)	3,000	3,000	3,000
Pesticide impact assessment	2,968	2,968	2,968
Pesticide research (WA)	250	718	484
Phytophthora root rot (NM)	125	125	125
Plastic from cornstarch (NE)	40	40	40
Potato research	1,327	1,415	1,371
Preservation and processing research (OK)	267		265
Prime farm land reclamation (IL, KY)	675		609
Regional barley gene mapping project	175	350	262
Regionalized implications of farm programs (MO, TX)	350		348
Rural development centers (PA, IA, (ND), MS, OR)	500	500	500
Rural economic development (GA)		750	744
Rural environmental research (IL)	150		75
Rural policies institute (AR, NE, MO)		750	375
Russian wheat aphid (WA, OR, ID, CA)	350	350	350
Safflower research (ND, MT)		250	248
Sandhills grazing management practices (NE)	100	40	99
Seafood and aquaculture harvesting, processing, and marketing (MS)	373	400	361
Seedless table grapes (AR)	100		50
Seedstocks enhancement (ND)		200	198
Small fruit research (OR, WA, ID)	250		125
Southwest consortium for plant genetics and water resources	400	400	400
Soybean bioprocessing (IA)		400	200
Soybean cyst nematode (MO)	385		333
STEEP II—water quality in Northwest	980	980	980
Stone fruit decline (MI)	285		283
Stored grain insects (KS)	285	285	285
Subirrigation research (MI)	263		262
Sunflower insects (ND, SD)		200	194
TCK smut (wheat)	250	250	250
Tropical and subtropical	3,341	3,299	3,320
Urban pests (GA) [2]			76
Water management (AL)		400	397
Water quality	8,350	8,350	8,000
Wheat genetic research (KS)		200	149
Wild rice research (MN)	100		50
Wood utilization research (OR, MS, MI)	2,852	2,852	2,852
Wool research (TX)	250	146	198
World food systems (IN, OH)	360		357
Youth Science Camp (WV)		115	94
Total, Special Research Grants	56,909	56,811	61,976

[1] Senate bill included $2,690,000 under ARS.
[2] Senate bill included $153,000 under ARS.

For competitive grants the conference agreement earmarks $493,000 for soybean research, $514,000 for alcohol fuels research and $475,000 for brucellosis research as proposed by the House. The Senate report earmarked the $514,000 for alcohol fuels.

Within the $35,000,000 available for plant systems, the conference agreement earmarks $11,000,000 for plant genome mapping.

Amendment No. 7: Provides $5,551,000 for support of animal health and disease research authorized by section 1433 of Public Law 95-113 instead of $5,695,000 as proposed by the House. The Senate amendment deleted funds for this research.

Amendment No. 8: Provide $1,168,000 for supplemental and alternative crops instead of $918,000 as proposed by the House and $1,418,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement provides $668,000 for research on guayule as proposed by both the House and the Senate. For research on crambe and rapeseed the conference agreement provides $500,000 instead of $250,000 as proposed by the House and $750,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the $500,000 provided, the conference agreement earmarks $50,000 for varietal breeding instead of $100,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 9: Provides $800,000 for research under the Critical Agricultural Materials Act as proposed by the Senate instead of $500,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement provides $500,000 for the National Center for Physical Acoustics as proposed by the House and the Senate and $300,000 for the Polymer Center at the University of Southern Mississippi as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 10: Provides $7,500,000 for higher education activities as proposed by the Senate instead of $7,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 11: Provides $3,152,000 for operation of international trade development centers instead of $2,227,000 as proposed by the House and $5,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
1. CINTRAFOR/IMPACT	$1,000,000	$1,000,000	$1,000,000
2. Iowa State University	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000
3. University of Kentucky	227,000	227,000	227,000
4. West Virginia University		575,000	575,000
5. Oregon Wheat Center		300,000	300,000
6. University of Idaho		50,000	50,000
7. Eastern Europe and Soviet Centers		400,000	
8. New centers or existing centers		1,448,000	
Total	2,227,000	5,000,000	3,152,000

The conferees will expect the existing international trade centers to increase their efforts in regard to working with Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union.

Amendment No. 12: Provides $6,725,000 for low-input agriculture instead of $4,450,000 as proposed by the House and $9,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree that no funds are provided in the bill for the establishment of low-input training centers. The Senate report urged the Department to allocate a portion of these funds for the establishment of two national training centers if authorized.

Amendment No. 13: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *$17,933,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides $17,933,000 for Federal administration of the Cooperative State Research Service instead of $14,985,000 as proposed by the House and $16,245,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement:

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
1. Shrimp aquaculture	$3,365,000	$3,365,000	$3,365,000
2. Mississippi Valley State University	650,000	635,000	642,000
3. Ag in the Classroom	170,000	170,000	170,000
4. Agricultural biotechnology	375,000	375,000	375,000
5. Peer panels	250,000	250,000	250,000
6. Office of Grants	575,000	.....	568,000
7. Center for Agriculture and Rural Development (IA)	750,000	.....	741,000
8. 1890 Capacity Building	8,250,000	8,250,000	8,250,000
9. Vocational aquaculture	.....	1,000,000	500,000
10. Water quality	600,000	1,000,000	1,600,000
a. Iowa State	(.....)	(250,000)	(250,000)
b. North Dakota	(.....)	(750,000)	(750,000)
c. Southern Illinois University	(600,000)	(.....)	(600,000)
11. Geographic Information System	.....	1,000,000	747,000
12. Maize Genetics Center (ND)	.....	200,000	100,000
13. Herd management (TN)	.....	(1)	375,000
14. Alternative fuels characterization (ND)	.....	(2)	250,000
Total	14,985,000	16,245,000	17,933,000

[1] Senate bill proposed $750,000 under ARS.
[2] Senate bill proposed $300,000 under ARS.

Amendment No. 14: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *$388,489,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates $388,489,000 for the Cooperative State Research Service instead of $373,076,000 as proposed by the House and $379,966,000 as proposed by the Senate.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 15: Appropriates $62,867,000 for Cooperative State Research Service, Buildings and Facilities instead of $45,361,000 as proposed by the House and $67,758,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
1. Alabama: Tuskegee University	$800,000	$600,000	$700,000
2. Arkansas:			
a. Center for Alternative Pest Control		1,202,000	811,000
b. Poultry and Isolation Facility, University of Arkansas/Fayetteville		428,000	337,000
c. Poultry Center of Excellence		4,700,000	3,750,000
d. Rice Germplasm Center, Stuttgart	223,000	223,000	(1)
3. Arizona: Agriculture research complex-environmental stress lab		(2)	(2)
4. California:			
a. Alternative pest control containment and quarantine, University of California	(2)	(2)	(2)
b. Grape Importation Facility, University of California at Davis	1,233,000	561,000	897,000
5. Florida: Biotechnology Institute, University of Florida-Alachua	500,000	3,850,000	2,690,000
6. Georgia:			
a. Biocontainment Research Center, University of Georgia	500,000	3,000,000	1,992,000
b. Center for Advanced Water Technology, Savannah		(2)	(2)
c. National Laboratory for Environmentally Sound Production Agriculture—Tifton		300,000	300,000
d. Vidalia onion storage research facility		225,000	(2)
7. Hawaii: Center for Tropical/Subtropical Agriculture	5,675,000	5,675,000	5,675,000
8. Idaho: Biotechnology Facility		5,900,000	590,000
9. Illinois:			
a. Biotechnology Center, Northwestern University		(2)	(2)
b. National Soybean Laboratory, University of Illinois	1,750,000	1,484,000	1,617.000
10. Indiana: Molecular and Cellular Biotech Facility	1,500,000	.....	1,500,000
11. Iowa:			
a. Center for Food and Industrial Agriculture Product Development, Iowa State University	3,400,000	2,722,000	3,400,000
b. Human Nutrition Center, Iowa State University	1,750,000	2,439,000	1,755,000
12. Kansas: Throckmorton Plant Science Center, Kansas State University	500,000	4,500,000	3,731,000
13. Maine:			
a. Food Safety Laboratory, University of Maine		93,000	93,000
b. Masardis Research Farm		200,000	157,000
c. Presque Isle Farm Building Consolidation		150,000	150,000
14. Maryland: Institute for Natural Resources and Environmental Science, University of Maryland	(2)	(2)	(2)
15. Massachusetts: Center/hunger, poverty, nutrition and policy		(2)	(2)
16. Michigan: Food Toxicology Center, Michigan State University	5,190,000	.....	5,076,000
17. Mississippi: Center for Natural Products, University of Mississippi	3,850,000	(3)	(4)
18. Missouri: Bennett Living and Learning Center, Lincoln University		(2)	(2)
19. Montana: Bioscience Research Laboratory, Montana State University	500,000	2,000,000	1,250,000
20. Nebraska: Center for Advanced Technology, University of Nebraska	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000
21. New Jersey: Plant Bioscience Facility, Rutgers University	5,000,000	.....	2,544,000
22. North Carolina: Biotechnology Facillity	750,000	530,000	750,000
23. North Dakota:			
a. Animal Care Facility, North Dakota State University		(2)	(2)
b. Industrial Agriculture and Communication Center, North Dakota State University	500,000	2,511,000	2,511,000
c. Inst/Ag Health Science and Rural Medicine, University of North Dakota		4,488,000	2,892,000
d. Seed Research and Regulatory Facility, North Dakota State University		(2)	(2)
24. New York: New York Botanical Garden		(2)	(2)
25. Oregon: Seafood Center, Oregon State University	(2)	.....	(2)
26. Pennsylvania: Center for Food Marketing, St. Joseph's University	(2)	1,200,000	600,000
27. Rhode Island: Building Consolidation, University of Rhode Island		1,904,000	1,904,000

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
28. South Dakota: Northern Plains Biostress Laboratory, South Dakota State University	500,000	2,261,000	1,970,000
29. Tennessee: Nursery Crop Research Station		250,000	248,000
30. Texas: Inst/Biosciences and Technology, Texas A&M [4]	2,300,000	3,294,000	2,797,000
31. Utah: Biotechnology Laboratory, Utah State University		1,388,000	280,000
32. Vermont: Tree Physiology and Maple Research Laboratory, University of Vermont		375,000	372,000
33. Virginia: Agriculture Biotechnology Facility, Virginia Polytechnic Institute	1,125,000		918,000
34. Washington: Animal Disease Biotechnology Facility, Washington State University	1,415,000	1,005,000	1,210,000
35. Wisconsin:			
a. Agriculture Biotechnology/Genetics Facility, University of Wisconsin/Madison	1,700,000	3,500,000	2,600,000
b. Research greenhouse		(2)	(2)
c. Rural health information and technology initiative, Medical College of Wisconsin		(2)	(2)
36. Wyoming: Environmental Simulation Facility, University of Wyoming	(2)	(2)	(2)
37. Miscellaneous: Fund for reports [2]	200,000	300,000	300,000
Total	45,361,000	67,758,000	62,867,000

[1] Funded under ARS, Buildings and Facilities.
[2] Report on need and support for proposed facility.
[3] Senate provides $5,175,000 under ARS, Buildings and Facilities.
[4] House and Senate provided $450,000 and $950,000, respectively, in addition from funds previously appropriated. Conference agreement provides $950,000.

Facilities funded by this appropriation shall be based on a matching formula of not to exceed 50 percent Federal and not less than 50 percent State funding, including funds received by the State from private sources and from local units of government. Construction of such facilities shall be based on a firm indication of local support, including a commitment for paying all operating costs of the facility. Further, the research program to be carried out at these facilities shall be complementary to the overall programs of the Department of Agriculture.

In preparing reports on facilities, the Department is directed to address the need for the proposed facility, taking into account similar existing facilities along with the determination of local support for the facility, Reports are to be completed in time for consideration during hearings on the fiscal year 1993 budget request.

Amendment No. 16: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter inserted by said amendment, insert: *: Provided, That the $2,853,000 appropriated in fiscal year 1990 for the Center for Research on Human Nutrition and Chronic Disease Prevention at Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, shall be made available for planning and construction of the facility*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conferees direct that the post-sequester amount of $2,853,000 appropriated in fiscal year 1990 for the Center for Research on Human Nutrition and Chronic Disease Prevention shall be made available for planning and construction. The conferees agree that the facility meets the matching, local support, operating cost and research program requirements in the fiscal year 1990 conference report. The conference agreement also deletes bill language requiring a feasibility study on the Rural Health Information and Tech-

nology Initiative at the Medical College of Wisconsin which has been included by the conference agreement in Amendment No. 15.

Extension Service

Amendment No. 17: Provides $252,608,000 for payments under the Smith-Lever Act instead of $253,858,000 as proposed by the House and $251,358,000 as proposed by the Senate.

It has come to the attention of the conferees that some States may plan to decrease their monetary commitment to Smith-Lever programs in an amount equal to any increased appropriations granted by the Congress. This is clearly not the intent of Congress regarding the use of such funds. When additional monies are appropriated for a program, such increases are not meant to relieve the State of their responsibility. The conferees will monitor this situation closely during the coming year.

Amendment No. 18: Restores House language and provides $3,557,000 for the urban gardening program instead of $3,640,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 19: Provides $1,970,000 for farm safety instead of $970,000 as proposed by the House and $2,470,000 as proposed by the Senate. Within the total, $1,000,000 is provided specifically for the assistance of disabled farmers and for those in farm-related occupations to continue their farm and farm-related activities, as recommended by the National Easter Seal Society, instead of $1,500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House had no similar earmark.

Amendment No. 20: Provides $3,230,000 for the pesticide impact assessment program instead of $3,080,000 as proposed by the House and $3,380,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 21: Deletes House language providing $750,000 for the financial management assistance program as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 22: Provides $2,550,000 for financially stressed farmers and dislocated farmers instead of $1,750,000 as proposed by the House and $3,350,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 23: Restores House language providing $1,500,000 for a food safety program.

Amendment No. 24: Provides $1,000,000 for payments for Indian reservation agents instead of $4,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also provides $2,765,000 for carrying out provisions of the Renewable Resources Extension Act as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provisions.

In the past, funds for extension agents have been used for other purposes. The conference agreement makes available $1,000,000 for Indian reservations that wish to have agents. The conferees expect the Department to report to the appropriate committees of Congress those Indian reservations that agree to keep the extension agents.

Amendment No. 25: Provides $22,794,000 for payments for extension work to the 1890 land-grant colleges and Tuskegee University instead of $22,880,000 as proposed by the House and $22,709,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 26: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *$389,273,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates $389,273,000 for the Extension Service, excluding Federal administration, instead of $385,727,000 as proposed by the House and $387,331,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 27: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *$9,205,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates $9,205,000 for Federal administration of the Extension Service instead of $8,150,000 as proposed by the House and $8,146,000 as proposed by the Senate. The following table reflects the conference agreement for Federal administration and recommends the following amounts:

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
1. Technology transfer (OK, MS)	$333,000	$330,000	$331,000
2. Rural development (NE)	200,000	189,000	194,000
3. Rural Development (OK)	433,000	.....	431,000
4. Crambe/rapeseed (NE)	70,000	64,000	67,000
5. Agriculture Development-Pacific (HI)	650,000	645,000	647,000
6. NewEngland vertebrate (MA)	113,000	.....	103,000
7. Project Future (MN)	149,000	.....	143,000
8. Rural rehabilitation (GA)	146,000	256,000	256,000
9. Agricultural film (NY)	175,000	.....	175,000
10. Rural education (ND)	.....	846,000	846,000
11. Presque Island (ME)	.....	139,000	139,000
12. Crop simulation (MS)	500,000	496,000	498,000
13. Southern Kentucky agriculture development strategy	50,000	.....	49,000
14. Income enhancement demonstration (OH)	150,000	.....	145,000
15. General administration	5,181,000	5,181,000	5,181,000
Total	8,150,000	8,146,000	9,205,000

The conferees agree that the agricultural film shall be closely coordinated with the Extension Service and the youth-at-risk program.

The Senate recedes on report language that does not concur with the House in providing for new projects, nor increasing funds for existing projects under the "Federal administration and special grants" accounts.

National Agricultural Library

Amendment No. 28: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *$16,798,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates $16,798,000 for the National Agricultural Library instead of $16,373,000 as proposed by the House and $16,423,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 29: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which earmarks $425,000 for the National Center for Agricultural Law Research and Information at the Leflar School of Law in Fayetteville, Arkansas, as proposed by the Senate. The conferees are aware of the proposal to coordinate the program at Leflar with the program at Drake University and expect the Department to cooperate with this proposal.

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 30: Appropriates $381,120,000 for Salaries and Expenses of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service as proposed by the Senate instead of $380,266,000 as proposed by the House. The following table sets forth the conference agreement to program:

[In thousands of dollars]

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
PEST AND DISEASE EXCLUSION			
Africanized bee	1,500	.....	500
Agricultural quarantine inspection	71,268	71,268	71,268
Foot-and-mouth disease	3,818	3,818	3,818
Import-export inspection	8,929	8,929	8,929
International programs	4,238	4,238	4,238
Mediterranean fruit fly	12,181	10,831	12,181
Mexican fruit fly	1,745	1,745	1,745
Screwworm	33,391	33,391	33,391
Subtotal, Pest and disease exclusion	137,070	134,220	136,070
PLANT AND ANIMAL HEALTH MONITORING			
Animal disease detection	8,335	8,335	8,335
Pest detection	4,059	4,059	4,059
Subtotal, Plant and animal health monitoring	12,394	12,394	21,394
PEST AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS			
Animal damage control—operations	24,288	24,288	24,588
Animal health compliance and enforcement	12,354	12,354	12,354

[In thousands of dollars]

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Biocontrol	6,446	4,944	4,944
Boll weevil	13,135	13,135	13,135
Brucellosis eradication	64,553	64,553	64,553
Cattle ticks	6,172	6,172	6,172
Citrus canker	1,264	1,264	1,264
Golden nematode	808	808	808
Grasshopper and Mormon cricket	3,850	3,850	3,850
Reserve fund	5,000	5,000	5,000
Gypsy moth	4,877	4,877	4,877
Honey bee pests	502	502	502
Imported fire ant	4,232	4,232	4,232
Miscellaneous plant and animal disease	2,436	2,436	2,436
National poultry improvement plan	229	229	229
Noxious weeds	589	1,189	1,081
Pink bollworm	2,800	2,800	2,800
Poultry disease	673	673	673
Pseudorabies	5,492	8,596	6,554
Russian wheat aphid	898	2,400	2,400
Scrapie	846	846	846
Swine health protection	3,319	3,319	3,319
Tuberculosis	3,554	3,554	3,554
Witchweed	5,144	5,144	5,144
Subtotal, Pest and disease management programs	173,461	177,165	175,315
ANIMAL CARE			
Animal welfare	9,688	9,688	9,688
Horse protection	359	359	359
Subtotal, Animal welfare	10,047	10,047	10,047
SCIENCE AND TECHNICAL SERVICES			
ADC methods development	8,763	8,763	8,763
Biotechnology	5,697	5,697	5,697
Plant methods development	5,499	5,499	5,499
Veterinary biologics	9,165	9,165	9,165
Veterinary diagnostics	13,670	13,670	13,670
Subtotal, Scientific and technical services	42,794	42,794	42,794
Contingency fund	4,500	4,500	4,500
Total, Salaries and expenses	380,266	381,120	381,120

For the noxious weeds program the conference agreement provides $1,081,000. This level includes $92,000 for hydrilla control in Imperial Valley, California, and $500,000 for a common crupina eradication program.

The conferees agree to delete the Senate earmarks for eastern filbert blight and blastomycosis. The conferees expect APHIS to review the problems related to these diseases and take appropriate action.

For the animal damage control program the conference agreement provides $24,588,000. The Conferees expect animal damage control activities to be cost shared with non-Federal participants.

The conferees expect the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service to incorporate performance based standards into its regulations when such performance based standards would not interfere

with the establishment of a minimal level of care or the enforceability of the Act as Congress intended. The conferees expect the intent of Congress to be followed and, thus, that strong enforceable standards governing the handling, care, treatment and transportation of animals be issued by APHIS.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 31: Appropriates $21,396,000 for Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Buildings and Facilities as proposed by the House instead of $25,396,000 as proposed by the Senate.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

The conferees expect that expansion of the Streamlined Inspection System for cattle on a permanent basis, beyond the initial five pilot projects, will be implemented only following formal response to recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences and development of an implementation plan submitted to Congress for review, and that all the standards of existing meat inspection laws relating to wholesomeness and sanitation continue to be fully incorporated into the System.

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

MARKETING SERVICES

The conference agreement restores House language which expects the Agricultural Marketing Service to conduct an evaluation of the farmer's market in Toledo, Ohio.

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION

ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING EXPENSES

Amendment No. 32: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert: *such sums as necessary*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement appropriates "such sums as necessary" for Administrative and Operating Expenses of the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation instead of $101,579,000 as proposed by the House and $325,857,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The crop insurance program is authorized to continue if at least 75 percent actuarially sound—and shall be returned to the control of the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service so far as sales and loss adjustments are concerned. Existing contracts will be honored.

This action was taken in view of the fact that operating costs since 1981 were $2,028,000,000, of which $1,194,000,000 has been paid to reinsurance companies. Indemnities paid have exceeded premiums by over $2,300,000,000 and administrative costs have

gone up over 450 percent. The program now costs over $1,000,000,000 per year. The President's budget proposed to terminate the program.

Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Fund

Amendment No. 33: Appropriates $337,365,000 for the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Fund as proposed by the Senate instead of $162,939,000 as proposed by the House.

Commodity Credit Corporation

The conferees believe that if clean fuels are to play a significant role in the President's clean air initiative, production must increase dramatically in the coming years. The conferees recognize that the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and the State of Nebraska are conducting studies of the economic advantages of utilizing off-grade, nonfood grain for clean fuel. Iowa State University is examining the ability to use aflatoxin contaminated and other out-of-condition grain for the production of ethanol. The Department of Agriculture and the Department of Energy should support these programs. Accordingly, Commodity Credit Corporation funds shall be used to gather and relocate off-grade grains to approved sites designated by the States of Iowa and Nebraska, and the Louisiana Department of Agriculture. The grain would be sold to facilities engaged in the production of clean fuels. The research should focus on the economic feasibility of relocating the grain as well as using the byproducts for livestock feed. The Commodity Credit Corporation has the authority to use off-grade grain for clean fuel production and the conferees expect the Commodity Credit Corporation to make available not less than 15 million bushels of off-grade grain for this research project, to be sold under normal Commodity Credit Corporation regulations.

It is expected that the Commodity Credit Corporation will have surplus stocks of nonfat dry milk in inventory during fiscal year 1991. The conferees direct the Secretary to donate available nonfat dry milk to the Commodity Supplemental Food Program and the Food Distribution Program on Indian reservations.

TITLE II—RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

Rural Development Assistance

Farmers Home Administration

RURAL HOUSING INSURANCE FUND

Amendment No. 34: Provides $1,978,581,000 for loans from the Rural Housing Insurance Fund instead of $2,014,340,000 as proposed by the House and $1,942,823,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes the following loan levels:

Low-income housing (502)	$1,226,451,000
Unsubsidized housing loans	50,000,000
Unsubsidized guaranteed	70,000,000
Subsidized guaranteed	30,000,000
Rental housing (515)	573,900,000
Housing repair (504)	11,330,000

Farm labor (514)	16,300,000
Site development (524)	600,000
Total	1,978,581,000

Amendment No. 35: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *$1,857,981,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides that $1,857,981,000 of the loans from the Rural Housing Insurance Fund shall be for subsidized interest loans to low-income borrowers instead of $1,963,740,000 as proposed by the House and $1,892,223,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 36: Appropriates $2,667,186,000 for reimbursement for net realized losses and interest subsidies of the Rural Housing Insurance Fund as proposed by the Senate instead of $2,167,186,000 as proposed by the House.

AGRICULTURAL CREDIT INSURANCE FUND

Amendment No. 37: Povides that of the $542,000,000 available for farm ownership loans, $509,000,000 shall be for guaranteed loans instead of $475,500,000 as proposed by the House and $542,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement makes available $33,000,000 for direct farm ownership loans.

The conferees are concerned that in many cases foreclosed property is being sold and then financed under the farm ownership program with no money down. The conferees do not concur in this approach and expect an amount equal to at least one year's rent be required as a down payment on such property.

Amendment No. 38: Provides that $3,500,000,000 shall be available for operating loans instead of $3,550,000,000 as proposed by the House and $3,450,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. Of the total, $2,600,000,000 shall be guaranteed loans as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

The conferees agree that, notwithstanding any other provision of law, in areas where adequate guaranteed operating loans are not available due to the inability of banks to make guaranteed loans, the Secretary shall make such loans from the Commodity Credit Corporation and such loans shall be exempt from any budgetary ceilings or other ceilings.

The conferees also will expect the Department to carry out an interest rate reduction program for Farmers Home Administration operating loans to farmers with gross sales of not to exceed $300,000 to ease the shift in lending authority from direct to guaranteed.

Amendment No. 39: Provides $3,750,000 for matching grants authorized by section 502(b) of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1987 instead of $3,500,000 as proposed by the House and $4,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 40: Appropriates $6,014,356,000 for reimbursement for net realized losses and interest subsidies of the Agricultural Credit Insurance Fund as proposed by the Senate instead of $5,620,159,000 as proposed by the House.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT INSURANCE FUND

Amendment No. 41: Appropriates $1,666,160,000 for reimbursement for net realized losses and interest subsidies of the Rural Development Insurance Fund as proposed by the Senate instead of $1,466,160,000 as proposed by the House.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT LOAN FUND

Amendment No. 42: Provides a total loan level of $32,500,000 for the Rural Development Loan Fund instead of $25,000,000 as proposed by the House and $40,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree to the House earmark of $3,000,000 for the Three Rivers Planning and Development District in Mississippi.

Amendment No. 43: Appropriates $30,500,000 for the Rural Development Loan Fund instead of $23,000,000 as proposed by the House and $38,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

Amendment No. 44: Appropriates $20,750,000 for Rural Development Grants instead of $16,500,000 as proposed by the House and $25,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree to the earmarks contained in the House and Senate reports.

Amendment No. 45: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which inserts the words "and training".

Amendment No. 46: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which earmarks $2,000,000 for grants to statewide private, nonprofit public television systems in predominantly rural States.

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT GRANTS

Amendment No. 47: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter inserted by said amendment, insert:

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT GRANTS

For grants for pollution abatement and control projects authorized under section 310B(b) (7 U.S.C. 1932) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, $1,500,000: Provided, That such assistance shall include regional technical assistance for improvement of solid waste management.

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement amends Senate language to appropriate $1,500,000 instead of $3,000,000 for Solid Waste Management

Grants. The agreement deletes the proviso providing for 100-percent grants and adds a proviso allowing grants for regional technical assistance.

The conferees will expect the Farmers Home Administration to provide regional, multi-State technical assistance through such organizations as rural community assistance programs.

EMERGENCY COMMUNITY WATER ASSISTANCE GRANTS

Amendment No. 48: Appropriates $10,000,000 for Emergency Community Water Assistance Grants instead of $20,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 49: Earmarks $3,529,000 for a circuit rider program to provide technical assistance for rural water systems instead of $3,359,000 as proposed by the House and $3,700,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 50: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that, hereafter, the area within the present city limits of the city of Burlington, Ward County, State of North Dakota, shall be eligible for loans and payments administered by the Farmers Home Administration through the Rural Housing Insurance Fund.

The conferees also recognize the continued eligibility of the Saint Francis Rural Water Association for funding from the Farmers Home Administration. The conferees intend that the status of the Association's eligibility not be affected by changes in the population classification of Craighead and Greene Counties pursuant to the 1990 Census.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELEPHONE REVOLVING FUND LOAN AUTHORIZATIONS

Amendment No. 51: Provides a floor on insured electric loans of $622,050,000 as proposed by the House instead of $760,050,000 as proposed by the Senate

Amendment No. 52: Deletes Senate language which provided that $138,000,000 (of REA loans) shall not become available for obligation until September 1, 1991.

Amendment No. 53: Provides a ceiling on insured electric loans of $933,075,000 as proposed by the House instead of $1,071,075,000 as proposed by the Senate.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 54: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which provides that not less than $500,000 of this appropriation shall be expended to provide community and economic development technical assistance to rural electric and telephone systems by Rural Electrification Administration employees who are located within REA and assigned

to REA's Rural Development Coordinator and who may not be reassigned or relocated to the Rural Information Center or other agency or office.

Soil Conservation Service

CONSERVATION OPERATIONS

The conference agreement restores House language which earmarks $375,000 for a Michigan subirrigation study.

The conferees expect the Soil Conservation Service to submit a progress report to the appropriate Committees of Congress on the pilot project demonstrating the benefits of a multipurpose land information system in the City of Northport, Alabama, by March 1, 1991.

WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPERATIONS

Amendment No. 55: Appropriates $185,705,000 for Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations of the Soil Conservation Service instead of $191,544,000 as proposed by the House and $179,867,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 56: Earmarks $26,766,000 for the Public Law 534 watershed program instead of $27,789,000 as proposed by the House and $25,744,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Amendment No. 57: Appropriates $190,152,000 for the Agricultural Conservation Program instead of $197,935,000 as proposed by the House and $182,369,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees provide that not more than $23,000,000 of the Agricultural Conservation Program funds may be used for water quality special projects, hydrologic units and national demonstration projects.

WATER BANK PROGRAM

Amendment No. 58: Appropriates $13,620,000 for the Water Bank Program instead of $10,498,000 as proposed by the House and $15,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TITLE III—DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS

Food and Nutrition Service

CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

Amendment No. 59: Provides a total of $5,577,199,000 for the Child Nutrition Programs, including transfer of funds from section 32, as proposed by the Senate instead of $5,265,539,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 60: Appropriates $880,698,000 for the Child Nutrition Programs as proposed by the Senate instead of $569,038,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 61: Provides that $1,143,000 shall be available to operate the Food Service Management Institute instead of $500,000

as proposed by the House and $1,786,000 as proposed by the Senate. In lieu of language contained in the Senate report relating to the coordination of activities with the Food Service Management Institute, the conferees expect the Institute to concentrate its efforts on improving the operation and quality of the Child Nutrition Programs. The Institute should become established in providing assistance to these programs before expanding into other Federally assisted feeding programs.

The conference agreement provides for the child nutrition programs at the following annual rates:

TOTAL OBLIGATIONAL AUTHORITY

	House bill	Senate bill	Conference agreement
Child Nutrition Programs:			
School lunch program	$3,223,888,000	$3,380,676,000	$3,380,676,000
School breakfast program	606,036,000	638,996,000	638,996,000
State administrative expenses	64,389,000	63,103,000	63,746,000
Summer food service program	179,716,000	179,957,000	179,957,000
Child care food program	953,106,000	1,045,346,000	1,045,346,000
Commodity procurement	223,666,000	253,097,000	253,097,000
Nutrition studies and surveys	3,085,000	3,085,000	3,085,000
Nutrition education and training	7,500,000	7,500,000	7,500,000
Federal review system	3,653,000	3,653,000	3,653,000
Food Service Management Institute	500,000	1,786,000	1,143,000
Total	5,265,539,000	5,577,199,000	5,577,199,000

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

Amendment No. 62: Provides that up to $2,750,000 may be used to carry out the farmer's market coupon demonstration project instead of $2,000,000 as proposed by the House and $3,500,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 63: Deletes House language which provided that $25,000,000 be placed in a reserve to be released by the Secretary only to meet unanticipated needs. The conference agreement provides $2,350,000,000 for the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC). The conferees are concerned that unanticipated increases in program food costs, such as the price of orange juice, during fiscal year 1990 resulted in strains placed on State agencies administering the program. The conferees believe that through enhanced management practices the impact of these problems could have been lessened. The conferees expect the Department to work closely with WIC directors in providing them with monthly reports containing vital economic information, food price fluctuations, alternatives to the food package items, and management guidance. The conferees also expect the Department to provide a monthly report to the appropriate committees of Congress on the status of each WIC agency with regard to their funding and participation levels and any problems they are having.

COMMODITY SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM

It has come to the attention of the conferees that the Commodity Credit Corporation will have surplus stocks of nonfat dry milk for donation to the Commodity Supplemental Food Program. Since nonfat dry milk will be donated to the program, the conferees direct that additional funds, which would have been used to purchase this commodity, be used to expand program participation.

FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

Amendment No. 64: Appropriates $19,050,901,000 for the Food Stamp Program as proposed by the Senate instead of $19,038,806,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 65: Provides that $823,513,000 is available upon the submission of an official budget request for a specific dollar amount as proposed by the Senate instead of $2,100,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 66: Earmarks $974,220,000 for the block grant for Nutrition Assistance for Puerto Rico as proposed by the Senate instead of $962,125,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 67: Restores House bill language which transfers $10,825,000 to the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service for the Cattle Tick Eradication Project. The conferees expect the project to be carried out through a cooperative agreement with the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, under which funds would be provided to the Commonwealth from the foregoing appropriation for field personnel necessary to conduct treatment and control activities. The conferees further expect that no Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service overhead costs will be taken out of the amount transferred.

FOOD DONATIONS PROGRAMS FOR SELECTED GROUPS

It has come to the attention of the conferees that the Commodity Credit Corporation will have surplus stocks of nonfat dry milk for donation to the Food Distribution Program on Indian reservations. The conferees direct that the additional funds provided for the purchase of nonfat dry milk be used to expand program participation.

TITLE IV—INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS

Foreign Agricultural Service

Amendment No. 68: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate which allows the Secretary to appoint a total of up to 12 Minister-Counselors, an increase of up to four over the current number.

The conferees will expect the Department to keep the appropriate committees of Congress fully informed as to the appointment of additional Minister-Counselors.

The conferees have also agreed that up to $500,000 be used for a wood export project with emphasis on southern wood products.

The conferees note that the administration proposes to reverse the modifications made in fisal year 1990 to the Foreign Affairs

Administrative Support System administered by the Department of State. The conferees concur with this proposal and expect that future payments to the Department of State for administrative support will reflect this change. The conferees recommend that any resulting budgetary savings be directed toward expanding the Department of Agriculture's overseas presence, particularly in Eastern Europe.

AMERI FLORA 1992 EXPOSITION

Amendment No. 69: Restores House language appropriating $500,000 for the Ameri Flora '92 Exposition, the international horticultural and environment exposition to be held in the United States in October 1992.

OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Amendment No. 70: Appropriates $6,879,000 for the Office of International Cooperation and Development instead of $5,268,000 as proposed by the House and $7,268,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $1,736,000 for middle-income country training instead of $2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes $225,000 for the Associate Professional Officers Program instead of $375,000 as proposed by the House and $75,000 as proposed by the Senate. Also included in the agreement is $225,000 for the United States/Ireland exchange, a decrease of $25,000 from the amount proposed by the Senate.

SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITIES OVERSEAS

(FOREIGN CURRENCY PROGRAM)

Amendment No. 71: Appropriates $1,062,000 for the Scientific Activities Overseas Program instead of $875,000 as proposed by the House and $1,250,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TITLE V—RELATED AGENCIES

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 72: Appropriates $656,519,000 for the Food and Drug Administration, Salaries and Expenses instead of $654,080,000 as proposed by the House and $661,652,000 as proposed by the Senate. The agreement deletes House language that provided $157,175,000 be made available only to the extent an official budget request is submitted to Congress.

For additional seafood inspection the conferees provide $9,537,000 above the amount available for fiscal year 1990 instead of $5,000,000 as proposed by the House and $14,075,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees expect the Food and Drug Administration to reexamine its decision on Philippine Natural Grade Carrageenan as a substitute for highly processed carrageenan in accordance with 21 CFR 172.620.

The conference agreement restores House language that the Food and Drug Administration should continue to provide the necessary financial and other support, as needed, to the National Center for Food Safety and Technology.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 73: Appropriates $8,350,000 for the Food and Drug Administration, Buildings and Facilities as proposed by the House instead of $10,850,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement deletes Senate language which provided $2,500,000 for a cooperative biotechnology center and restores House language providing for a feasibility study on a clinical research facility at Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh.

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Amendment No. 74: Appropriates $43,960,000 for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission instead of $39,691,000 as proposed by the House and $44,960,000 as proposed by the Senate.

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

LIMITATION ON REVOLVING FUND FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Amendment No. 75: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert: *Not to exceed $40,290,000 (from assessments collected from farm credit institutions) and not to exceed $608,000 (from assessments collected from the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation); in all, $40,898,000.*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement provides a limitation of $40,898,000 for administrative expenses of the Farm Credit Administration instead of $41,548,000 as proposed by the House and $38,834,000 as proposed by the Senate, and amends Senate language so that not to exceed $40,290,000 may be from assessments collected from farm credit institutions and not to exceed $608,000 may be from assessments collected from the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation. The conferees expect the Farm Credit Administration to make assessments to the farm credit institutions and Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation in a fair and equitable manner. The conferees note that the Farm Credit Administration should not treat ceilings specified in the bill language as assessment floors.

The Farm Credit Administration is directed to submit a plan to restore the Farm Credit Bank at Jackson, Mississippi, to serve the States of Alabama, Louisiana and Mississippi.

TITLE VI—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Targeted Export Assistance Program

Amendment No. 76: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended as follows: In lieu of the sum named, insert: *$175,000,000*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement restores House language on the targeted export assistance program amended to limit the program to $175,000,000.

Export Enhancement Program

Amendment No. 77: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended as follows: In lieu of the second sum named, insert: *$425,000,000.*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement restores House language on the export enhancement program amended to limit the program to $425,000,000.

Amendment No. 78: Restores House section number.

Amendment No. 79: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the section number stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert: *636. The Secretary shall use the authority available under section 32 and the Charter of the Commodity Credit Corporation to sell surplus agricultural commodities in world trade at competitive prices, as authorized by law, to regain and retain the United States' fair share of world markets.*

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement restores the House section number and states the Secretary's authority under law to sell surplus commodities in world trade at competitive prices.

Amendments No. 80 through 82: Restore House section numbers.

Amendment No. 83: Reported in technical disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to recede and concur in the amendment of the Senate with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the section number stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert: *640. None of the funds in this Act may be used to establish any new office, organization or center for which funds have not been provided in advance in Appropriations Acts, except the Department may carry out planning activities.*

SEC. 641

The managers on the part of the Senate will move to concur in the amendment of the House to the amendment of the Senate.

The conference agreement restores a House section number and adds a new section number. The agreement adds language requiring that new functions shall be instituted only to the extent provided in advance in Appropriations Acts as is the customary practice, except for planning activities.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1991 recommended by the Committee on Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1990 amount, the 1991 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1991 follow:

New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1990	$45,175,823,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1991	52,248,810,000
House bill, fiscal year 1991	50,351,228,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1991	52,264,792,000
Conference agreement, fiscal year 1991	52,155,593,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1990	+6,979,770,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1991	−93,217,000
House bill, fiscal year 1991	+1,804,365,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1991	−109,199,000

JAMIE L. WHITTEN,
BOB TRAXLER,
MATTHEW F. MCHUGH,
WILLIAM H. NATCHER,
WES WATKINS,
RICHARD J. DURBIN
(except for amendment 44),
MARCY KAPTUR,
NEAL SMITH,
VIRGINIA SMITH,
JOHN T. MYERS,
JOE SKEEN,
VIN WEBER,
SILVIO O. CONTE
(except for amendment 44),
Managers on the Part of the House.

QUENTIN N. BURDICK,
DALE BUMPERS,
TOM HARKIN,
BROCK ADAMS,
WYCHE FOWLER, Jr.,
J. ROBERT KERREY,
ROBERT C. BYRD,
THAD COCHRAN,
JAMES A. MCCLURE,
ROBERT W. KASTEN, Jr.,
ARLEN SPECTER,
CHUCK GRASSLEY,
MARK O. HATFIELD,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

○